



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

				· .	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/199,669	11/25/1998	ADNAN SHENNIB	ISM/005	3957	
7	590 01/29/2003				
DONALD R GREENE			EXAMINER		
P O BOX 1299 SCOTTSDALI	95 E, AZ 852672995		HARVEY,	HARVEY, DIONNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2643		
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



\subset	 	21
_	Ď	/

Application No. 09/199,669

Applicant(s)

Shennib

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Dionne Harvey

Art Unit **2643**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
	or Reply	TO EVAIDE 4 MONTHS FROM				
THE N	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	on is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-140</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-140</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have					
	3. U Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures at the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
_		1 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachme						
1) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/199,669 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-49, 98-124 and 125-137, drawn to the component mounting of a hearing device, classified in class 381, subclass 324.
 - II. Claims 50-97, drawn to a battery assembly of a hearing aid device, classified in class 38, subclass 323.
 - III. Claims 138-140, drawn to a method of testing an individuals tolerance, classified in class 029, subclass 593.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I. and II. are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the hearing apparatus of Group I. Does not require the specific battery structure as recited in the claims of Group II. The subcombination has separate utility such as in other mechanical apparatus requiring the use of a power source.
- 3. Inventions I & II and III are related as product and method of testing product. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

Application/Control Number: 09/199,669

Page 3

Art Unit: 2643

method of testing product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different method of testing that product

(MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of testing product as claimed can be

practiced with another materially different product.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

5. A telephone call was made to Donald Green on 1/16/2003 to request an oral election to

the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is

advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention

to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Dionne Harvey whose telephone number is (703) 305-1111.

nuary 22, 2003